

RUNNING ALLOWANCE

Our most cherished Demand of **RUNNING ALLOWANCE**, the Fundamental Right recommended for Adjudication by Labour Ministry on 18.12.2000, consequent to the Strike Notice issued for 20.12.1999. On 19.01.2001, a Petition on behalf of the Association was filed before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court on our contentions for Pay element of Running Allowance vide I.D. 123 of 2000. In October 2005, the Judgment in I.D. 123 of 2000 received from the Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi that went against the interest of the Station Masters.

CEC decided and filed Write Petition in THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI, vide **W.P.(C) 14064/2006**, filled on **04.09.2006**. The Petitioner, SECRETARY GENERAL, ALL INDIA STATION MASTERS' ASSOCIATION, Through: Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate, Respondent THE CHAIRMAN RAILWAY BOARD. **THE COURT DETAILS:**

1. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 08.09.2006

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Geetanjali Mohan for the respondent.

WP(C) No.14064/2006 None has appeared for the petitioner despite passing over the matter. The writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

2. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 13.10.2006

Present: Mr. Romy Chacko, Ms. Nisha Rajan and Mr. A.K. Jha for the petitioner.

Ms. Dhamdeep for the respondent.

CM No. 12677/2006 in WP(C) No. 14064/2006. The Hon'ble Judge is not holding court today. Renotify on 2nd November, 2006.

3. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 02.11.2006

Present: Mr. Romi Chako for the petitioner.

Ms. Damandeep for Mrs. Geetanjali Mohan counsel for the respondent.

CM No.12677/2006 in WP(C) No. 14064/2006

Hon'ble Judge is busy in Division Bench. Renotify on 21st November 2006.

4. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 21.11.2006

Present: Mr. Romy Chacho for the petitioner. Mr. K.K. Verma for the respondent.

CM No. 12677/2006 in WP(C) No. 14064/2006. This is application for restoration of the petition. Mr. K.K. Verma, counsel for the respondent has no objection. For the reasons stated in the application, supported by the affidavit, application for restoration of the petition is allowed. Petition is restored to its original number WP(C) No. 14064/2006. CM stands disposed of.

Issue notice to the respondent to show cause as to why rule nisi be not issued, returnable on 11th July 2007. Mr. K.K.Verma, Advocate, accepts notice for the respondent. Counter be filed within six weeks. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within six weeks thereafter. CM. No. 11042/2006 (stay) In the meantime, operation of the impugned Award shall remain stayed.

5. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 05.05.2008

Present: None

WP(C) No.14064/2006. Despite second call, nobody appears for the petitioner.

The matter is accordingly dismissed in default.

- 6. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 05.02.2010**
Present:- Mr. Romy Chacko for the petitioner. None for the respondent.
W.P.(C) No.14064/2006 Mr Romy Chacko learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner says that he has filed an application for taking his rejoinder on record. The said application of the petitioner is not on record. However, as and when the application is placed on record, the same should be deemed to have been allowed.
The rejoinder stated to has been filed by the petitioner along with the said application is taken on record. LCR be requisitioned for the next date. In the meanwhile, Court notice be also sent to the respondents for next date of hearing. List on 08.07.2010.
- 7. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 08.07. 2010**
SECRETARY, ALL INDIA STATION Petitioner Through : Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate
Versus THE CHAIRMAN RAILWAY BOARD Respondent Through : Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
W.P.(C) 14064/2006 CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL
O R D E R 08.07.2010 CM No. 1662/2010 (application for permission for taking on record rejoinder) In view of the order dated 05.02.2010, the rejoinder is taken on record.
Copy of the rejoinder is supplied to counsel for respondent in court.
Application stands disposed of. List this matter for final disposal on 23.11.2010.
- 8. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 23.11.2010**
SECDRETARY, ALL INDIA STATION Petitioner through Ms. Romy Chacko with Mr. M Ramani, Advocates versus
THE CHAIRMAN RAILWAY BOARD Respondent through Mr. J P Sharma, Advocate
W.P.(C) 14064/2006 CORAM: HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE REKHA SHARMA
O R D E R 23.11.2010 CM NO. 20201/2010
This is an application whereby the respondent prays for time to file additional affidavit to the rejoinder filed by the petitioner on the ground that some new facts have emerged from the rejoinder of the petitioner. Let additional affidavit be filed within four weeks with advance copy to counsel for the petitioner. List on March 1, 2011.
- 9. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 01.03.2011**
W.P.(C) 14064/2006
Petitioner: Through: Mr.Romy Chacko and Mr. M. Ramani, Advocates. Versus
Respondent Through: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Adv.
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW. O R D E R 01.03.2011
The arguing counsel for the petitioner has chosen to not appear and seeks adjournment.
List on 12th December, 2011.
- 10. IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI 12.12. 2011**
W.P.(C) 14064/2006
Petitioner Through: Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv. Versus Respondent Through: None
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BHASIN
O R D E R: Rule. To be listed in due course.
In the meanwhile, Labour Court's record be obtained.

Awaiting for the court listing for the further date from 2012.....